arXiv:1702.08762v1 [math.MG] 28 Feb 2017

BILIPSCHITZ EQUIVALENCE OF TREES AND HYPERBOLIC FILLINGS

JEFF LINDQUIST

Abstract. We combine conditions found in [Wh] with results from [MPR] to show that quasi-isometries between uniformly discrete bounded geometry spaces that satisfy linear isoperimetric inequalities are within bounded distance to bilipschitz equivalences. We apply this result to regularly branching trees and hyperbolic fillings of metric spaces.

1. Introduction

In this note we combine results from [Wh] with results from [MPR] both to generalize a theorem of Papasoglu [Pa] and to prove that the vertex sets of hyperbolic fillings of metric spaces are bilipschitz equivalent. Papasoglu in [Pa] proves that (the vertices of) k-ary homogeneous trees are bilipschitz equivalent whenever $k \geq 3$. A map between metric spaces $f\colon (X, d_X) \to (Y, d_Y)$ is a *bilipschitz equivalence* if it is a bijection such that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $x, x' \in X$ we have

$$\frac{1}{C} d_X(x, x') \leq d_Y(f(x), f(x')) \leq C d_X(x, x').$$

Bilipschitz equivalence is a strong property that is not immediate in many situations. One has the weaker notion of a quasi-isometry which is a map that is bilipschitz at large scales. More formally, a map between metric spaces $f\colon (X, d_X) \to (Y, d_Y)$ is a *quasi-isometry* if there exist constants $C, D > 0$ such that for all $x, x' \in X$ we have

$$\frac{1}{C} d_X(x, x') - D \leq d_Y(f(x), f(x')) \leq C d_X(x, x') + D$$

and such that the image $f(X)$ is dense in Y (see Definition 2.3 for this notion of density). A natural question to ask is whether a quasi-isometry can be promoted to a bilipschitz equivalence under the right conditions. This was answered affirmatively for some conditions by Whyte [Wh] who showed that a quasi-isometry between $UDBG$ spaces is within bounded distance to a bilipschitz equivalence if a certain homological condition holds (for the definition of a $UDBG$ space, see Section 2). Whyte's results involve boundary estimates which are reminiscent of linear isoperimetric inequalities. Indeed, one can apply the results in his paper directly to prove Theorem 1.1. For this theorem X and Y are imbued with the graph metric which induces the metric on the vertex sets.

Theorem 1.1. *Let X and Y be quasi-isometric connected graphs of bounded degree satisfying linear isoperimetric inequalities. Then, the vertex sets V_X and V_Y are*

The author was partially supported by NSF grants DMS-1506099 and DMS-1162471.

bilipschitz equivalent. Moreover, if $f\colon X \to Y$ is a quasi-isometry, then there is such a bilipschitz equivalence within bounded distance of f.

This theorem allows us to generalize Papasoglu's result to more exotic trees that satisfy linear isoperimetric inequalities. Such trees were studied in the work of Martínez-Pérez and Rodríguez [MPR]. Their results, together with a quasisymmetric characterization from [DS], yield the following corollary:

Corollary 1.2. *Let X and Y be rooted, pseudo-regular, visual trees of bounded degree. Then, V_X and V_Y are bilipschitz equivalent.*

Here a tree is rooted if it has a specified "root" vertex, pseudo-regular if it branches regularly, visual if it does not have arbitrarily long "dead ends", and of bounded degree if there is a uniform bound on the number of edges connecting to any particular vertex. For precise definitions we refer the reader to Section 2.

Theorem 1.1 has another corollary when one considers hyperbolic fillings of a given compact, uniformly perfect, Ahlfors regular metric space.

Corollary 1.3. *Let (Z, d) be a compact, uniformly perfect, Ahlfors regular metric space. Let X and Y be hyperbolic fillings of Z. Then, V_X and V_Y are bilipschitz equivalent.*

Hyperbolic fillings are graph appromixations of metric spaces formed by covering the space with separated balls and connecting two balls with an edge if they overlap. For precise constructions, we refer the reader to [BuS], [BP], and [Li]. It follows from the work in [MPR] that hyperbolic fillings of these spaces satisfy a linear isoperimetric inequality and thus quasi-isometries may be promoted to bilipschitz equivalences in this setting. As two different fillings are quasi-isometric, the corollary follows.

Section 2 contains the relevant definitions and preliminaries for the rest of the paper. In Section 3, we state the relevant results from [Wh] and [MPR] with some proofs. In Section 4 we prove the results in the introduction, namely Theorem 1.1 and its two corollaries.

Acknowledgements. The author thanks Mario Bonk for interesting discussions on the subject matter.

2. Definitions and Preliminaries

We are primarily concerned with graphs, but the results developed in [Wh] hold in the more general setting of uniformly discrete spaces with bounded geometry (denoted UDBG spaces).

Definition 2.1. A metric space (X, d) is *uniformly discrete* if there is a constant $c > 0$ such that for all $x, x' \in X$ with $x \neq x'$ we have $d(x, x') > c$.

Definition 2.2. A metric space (X, d) is said to have *bounded geometry* if it is uniformly discrete and, for all $r > 0$ there is a constant $N_r > 0$ such that for all $x \in X$ we have $|B(x, r)| \leq N_r$.

For some statements, it is easier to use the terminology of a dense set.

Definition 2.3. A subset of a metric space $A \subseteq (X, d_X)$ is called *C-dense* for some $C > 0$ if for all $x \in X$, there exists $a \in A$ such that $d_X(x, a) \leq C$. We that A is *dense* in X if there exists a $C > 0$ such that A is C-dense in X.

We also use a notion of closeness of functions.

Definition 2.4. Let $f, g\colon (X, d_X) \to (Y, d_Y)$ be maps between metric spaces. Given $r > 0$, we say f *is within* r *of* g if for all $x \in X$ we have $d_Y(f(x), g(x)) \leq r$. If such an r exists, we say f and g are *within bounded distance*.

Given a connected graph $\Gamma = (V, E)$, one forms a metric space by viewing all edges as intervals of length 1. We refer to this metric as the *graph metric*.

For one of our applications we consider metric spaces which are rooted trees with some additional properties.

Definition 2.5. A *rooted graph* a graph with a distinguished vertex v_0. If the graph is also a tree, we call this a *rooted tree*.

Definition 2.6. A rooted graph T is *visual* if there is a constant $C > 0$ such that for every vertex $v \in V$, there is an infinite geodesic ray I with endpoint v_0 such that $d(v, I) \leq C$. In the language of [MPR], this means v_0 is a *pole* of T.

Definition 2.7. The *degree* of a vertex v is the number of edges e eminating from v, which we write as $\deg(v)$. A graph has *bounded degree* if there is a $\mu > 0$ such that for all $v \in V$ we have $\deg(v) \leq \mu$.

In [MPR] the combinatorial Cheeger isoperimetric constant $h(\Gamma)$ of a connected graph Γ is defined. This constant quantifies the existence of an isoperimetric inequality in Γ.

Definition 2.8. Given a connected graph $\Gamma = (V, E)$, we define the combinatorial Cheeger isoperimetric constant of $\Gamma = (V, E)$ as $h(\Gamma) = \inf_A |\partial A|/|A|$ where A ranges over nonempty finite subsets of V.

Remark 2.9. The graph Γ satisfies a linear isoperimetric inequality if and only if $h(\Gamma) > 0$.

We also wish to impose a condition on trees that forces regular branching. In [MPR] there is such a condition which they call *pseudo-regularity*. To fully define this we need some notation, also borrowed from [MPR]. Given a tree T and points $x, y \in T$, we let $[xy]$ denote the (unique) geodesic connecting x to y. For a fixed point $v \in T$ and any point $x \in T$, we define

$$T_x^v = \{y \in T : x \in [vy]\}.$$

In the following, $S(v_0, t)$ is the sphere of radius t centered at v_0 in the graph metric.

Definition 2.10. Given a rooted, visual tree (T, v_0) and $K > 0$ we say (T, v_0) is *K-pseudo-regular* if for every $t \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $a \in S(v_0, t)$, there exist at least two points in $S(v_0, t+K) \cap T_a^v$. We say (T, v_0) is *pseudo-regular* if it is *K-pseudo-regular* for some K.

Remark 2.11. Even though pseudo-regular trees are rooted and visual by definition, we will often refer to rooted, pseudo-regular, visual trees.

We will use the *end space* definition from [MPR] of the boundary at infinity of a tree. For this, let (T, v_0) be a rooted tree.

Definition 2.12. The *end space* of the rooted tree (T, v_0) is

$$end(T, v_0) = \{F\colon [0,\infty) \to T : F(0) = v_0 \text{ and } F \text{ is an isometric embedding}\}.$$

We define the Gromov product at infinity of two elements $F, F' \in end(T, v_0)$ as

$$(F|F')_{v_0} = \sup\{t \geq 0 : F(t) = F'(t)\}.$$

We then define a metric $d = d_{v_0}$ on $end(T, v_0)$ by $d(F, F') = e^{-(F|F')_{v_0}}$. Here d is actually an ultrametric, meaning if $F, G, H \in end(T, v_0)$, then $d(F, H) \leq \max(d(F, G), d(G, H))$. We often write $\partial_\infty T$ for $end(T, v_0)$.

In [DS], there is a characterization of metric spaces that are quasisymmetrically equivalent to the standard 1/3 Cantor set, denoted here as $C_{1/3}$.

Theorem 2.13 ([DS], Theorem 15.11)**.** *A compact metric space (X, d) is quasisymmetrically equivalent to $C_{1/3}$ if it is bounded, complete, doubling, uniformly perfect, and uniformly disconnected.*

Ultrametric spaces are uniformly disconnected by [DS, Proposition 15.7], so we do not define this term here.

We note complete, bounded, doubling metric spaces are compact as bounded, doubling metric spaces are totally bounded.

The metric spaces we are primarily concerned with are rooted, pseudo-regular, visual trees of bounded degree. We now prove the end spaces of such trees satisfy the criteria in Theorem 2.13.

Lemma 2.14. *Let (T, v_0) be a rooted, pseudo-regular, visual tree with bounded degree. Then, $\partial_\infty T$ is quasisymmetrically equivalent to $C_{1/3}$.*

Proof. Using [DS, Theorem 15.11] it suffices to show $\partial_\infty T$ is bounded, complete, doubling, uniformly perfect, and uniformly disconnected.

From [MPR, Proposition 3.3] $\partial_\infty T$ is a complete, bounded ultrametric space. Thus, $\partial_\infty T$ is also uniformly disconnected by [DS, Proposition 15.7].

The fact that $\partial_\infty T$ is uniformly perfect follows from the fact that (T, v_0) is pseudo-regular. This is proven in [MPR, Proposition 3.20].

We show $\partial_\infty T$ is doubling, which will follow from our bounded degree assumption. Let $B(F, r)$ be a ball in $\partial_\infty T$. Let

$$M = \sup\{m : F(k) = G(k) \text{ for all } G \in B(F, r), k \leq m\}.$$

Then, $r \geq e^{-(M+1)}$ and so $r/2 \geq e^{-(M+2)}$. As T has bounded degree, say $\deg(v) \leq \mu$ for $v \in V$, it follows that $B(F, r)$ is contained in μ^2 balls of radius $r/2$. □

The quasisymmetries induced on the end spaces of these trees give rises to quasi-isometries between the trees themselves as our lemma will show. The proof strategy is to show maximal geodesically complete subtrees are quasi-isometric first, and that these subtrees are quasi-isometric to the original trees. We follow the terminology in [MPR].

Definition 2.15. A rooted tree (T, v_0) is geodesically complete if whenever $f\colon [0, t] \to T$ is an isometric embedding with $f(0) = v_0$, there is an isometric embedding $F\colon [0,\infty) \to T$ such that $f(s) = F(s)$ for all $s \in [0, t]$.

Definition 2.16. Given a rooted tree (T, v_0), we define (T_∞, v_0) as the unique geodesically complete subtree with the same root v_0 that is maximal under inclusion.

The fact that such a tree exists and is unique follows from an application of Zorn's Lemma, see [MPM, Theorem 10.1].

Remark 2.17. We note that if (T, v_0) is visual then from [MPR, Proposition 3.8] it follows that there is a quasi-isometry $(T, v_0) \to (T_\infty, v_0)$.

Lemma 2.18. *Let (T, v_0) and (U, w_0) be rooted, pseudo-regular, visual trees with bounded degree. Then T and U are quasi-isometric.*

It is important that the trees we work with are visual so as to apply Remark 2.17. The construction of a quasi-isometry between T_∞ and U_∞ is given by [BuS, Theorem 7.2.1], we provide the main idea of the construction here.

Sketch of proof of Lemma 2.18. Let $\varphi\colon \partial_\infty T \to \partial_\infty U$ be a quasisymmetry. This exists by Lemma 2.14. From Remark 2.17 it suffices to construct a quasi-isometry f between (T_∞, v_0) and (U_∞, w_0). For $v \in T_\infty$ set

$$B_v = \{F \in \partial_\infty T_\infty : v \in F([0, \infty))\}$$

and likewise define B_w for $w \in U_\infty$. Define $f(v) = w$ where $w \in U_\infty$ is a vertex of maximal distance from w_0 such that $\varphi(B_v) \subseteq B_w$ (such a vertex exists as $B_{w_0} = \partial_\infty U_\infty$). We then show there is a constant $C > 0$ such that if $v, v' \in T_\infty$ with $|v - v'| \leq 1$, then $|f(v) - f(v')| \leq C$. From our tree structure we may assume without loss of generality that $B_{v'} \subseteq B_v$. Let $w = f(v)$ and $w' = f(v')$. We conclude that $B_{w'} \subseteq B_w$ and, by using a common point and the quasisymmetry condition, that there is a uniform bound on $|w - w'|$. Constructing $g\colon (U_\infty, w_0) \to (T_\infty, v_0)$ similarly and checking that f and g are coarse inverses of one another completes the proof. □

We will also work with some homological terminology as in [Wh]. In what follows we define what is needed, sometimes adapting definitions to our more specific setting.

Given a graph $\Gamma = (V, E)$ with bounded degree, we define a *0-chain* c as a formal sum $c = \sum_{v \in V} c_v v$ with $c_v \in \mathbb{Z}$. Likewise, a *1-chain* b is a formal sum $b = \sum_{e \in E} b_e e$ with $b_e \in \mathbb{Z}$. We call a chain *bounded* if its coefficients are bounded. Let $C_0^b = C_0^b(\Gamma)$ denote the set of bounded 0-chains and $C_1^b = C_1^b(\Gamma)$ the set of bounded 1-chains. Given an orientation on E, meaning we view each edge as an ordered pair $e = (e_+, e_-)$, we define the boundary map $\partial\colon C_1^b \to C_0^b$ by defining $\partial e = e_+ - e_-$ and extending linearly.

We define an equivalence relation on C_0^b by setting $c \sim c'$ if and only if there exists $b \in C_1^b$ such that $\partial b = c - c'$. We let $[c]$ denote the equivalence class of c under this relation. The fundamental class $[V]$ is defined as the equivalence class of $\sum_{v \in V} v$.

Given a graph $\Gamma = (V, E)$ equipped with the graph metric and a constant $r > 0$, we can define another graph $\Gamma_r = (V_r, E_r)$ where $V_r = V$ and

$$E_r = \{(x, y) : x, y \in V, d(x, y) \leq r\}.$$

This is the 1-dimensional subcomplex of the r-Rips complex as defined in [Wh]. In [Wh] homology is defined as above on Γ_r for sufficiently large r and the sets of chains are denoted C_0^{uf} and C_1^{uf}. To apply Whyte's result, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.19. *Let $\Gamma = (V, E)$ be a connected graph with bounded degree. Let $c \in C_0^{uf}$ be a boundary, so $c = \partial b$ for some $b \in C_1^{uf}$. Then, $c \in C_0^b$ is a boundary, so $c = \partial b'$ for some $b' \in C_1^b$.*

Proof. We fix $R > 0$ so that the Rips complex is given by $\Gamma_R = (V_R, E_R)$. Then, any edge $e \in E_R$ connects two vertices x, y with $d(x, y) \leq R$. As the metric on Γ_R is geodesic, this means there are edges $e_1, \ldots, e_m \in E$ connecting x and y with $m \leq R$. This observation lets us define a map $\Phi : E_R \to E^{\leq R}$ (where $E^{\leq R}$ denotes the set of finite strings of edges from E consisting of at most R edges) such that for each $e \in E_R$, the sequence $\Phi(e)$ connects the boundary vertices of e in Γ. The function Φ induces a map $C_1^{uf} \to C_1^b$ by sending the chain consisting solely of an edge $e \in E_R$ to the chain consisting of the edges in $\Phi(e)$ and extending linearly. We see $\partial e = \partial \Phi(e)$ with this definition. As Γ has bounded degree and $R < \infty$, it follows that any edge in E occurs as an element of $\Phi(e)$ for a uniformly bounded number of $e \in E_R$. Hence, if $c = \partial b$ with $b \in C_1^{uf}$, then $\Phi(b) \in C_1^b$ and $c = \partial \Phi(b)$. □

It is clear that if $c \in C_0^b$ is a boundary then $c \in C_0^{uf}$ is a boundary as the 1-Rips complex contains the graph (V, E). Hence, in the results that follow on connected graphs we may freely think of the C^b homology in place of the C^{uf} homology, even though [Wh] works with the C^{uf} homology.

3. Results from Whyte and Martínez-Pérez and Rodríguez

Here we state the results from [Wh] and [MPR] relevant for our setting. We indicate some ideas as to the proofs where applicable, but we leave most of the details to the papers. We first state a key result of [Wh].

Theorem 3.1 ([Wh], Theorem 4.1)**.** *Let $f \colon X \to Y$ be a quasi-isometry between UDBG spaces with $f_*([X]) = [Y]$. Then, there is a bilipschitz map at bounded distance from f.*

To apply this, we need a condition that implies $[f_*([X]) - Y] = 0$. This is achieved using an isoperimetric inequality and Theorem 3.3. To prove the direction we need from this theorem we will use Hall's selection theorem. For convenience we state the theorem here as it appears in [Wh].

Theorem 3.2 (Hall's Selection Theorem)**.** *Let $\alpha \colon A \to \mathrm{Fin}(B)$. Then, there is an injective map $\phi \colon A \to B$ such that $\phi(a) \in \alpha(a)$ for all $a \in A$ if and only if for all finite $S \subseteq A$ we have*

$$|S| \leq |\cup_{s \in S} \alpha(s)|$$

Theorem 3.3 ([Wh], Theorem 7.6)**.** *Let Z be a UDBG space, $c \in C_0^{uf}(Z)$. Then, $[c] = 0$ if and only if there are $r, C > 0$ such that for all finite $S \subseteq Z$ we have*

$$\Big|\sum_S c\Big| \leq C|\partial_r(S)|.$$

Proof of the direction we need (if). This proof is a more explicit version of what appears in [Wh]. We show that if such r, C exist, then $[c] = 0$. Let n such that $n \geq C$ and such that for all $z \in Z$ we have $c_z + n \geq 1$. Let $S \subseteq Z$ be finite. We consider the chains $c + n[Z]$ and $n[Z]$. Since $\sum_S c \leq C|\partial_r(S)|$, we have

$$\sum_S (c + n[Z]) \leq \sum_{N_r(S)} n[Z] \tag{1}$$

where $N_r(S) = \{z \in Z : d(z, S) < r\}$ and $\partial_r(S) = N_r(S) \setminus S$. We apply Hall's selection theorem to the spaces

$$A = \cup_{z\in Z}(z \times \{1, \dots, c_z + n\})$$

and

$$B = \cup_{z\in Z}(z \times \{1, \dots, n\})$$

where $\alpha((z, k)) = \cup_{w\in N_r(\{z\})}(w \times \{1, \dots, n\})$. Then inequality (1) yields the relevant condition in Hall's selection theorem so there is an injective map $\phi\colon A \to B$. For each $a \in A$ with $a \neq \phi(a)$, we connect the z-component of a with that of its image.

We create an injection the other way in a similar manner. For this, we let $m = \min(c_z)$ and require $n \geq C + |m|$. Start with $\sum_S(-c) \leq C|\partial_r(S)|$ which gives

$$\sum_S(-c) \leq \sum_{\partial_r(S)} c + n[Z]$$

and so

$$\sum_S n[Z] \leq \sum_{N_r(S)} (c + n[Z])$$

which lets us run the Hall's Selection Theorem argument in this direction. Thus, if n is large enough, we have injections in both directions between A and B with Z projections within bounded distance of the identity. This gives us a bijection between the sets with this property. Connecting the z-coordinates of the images of points with the z-coordinates of the points themselves forms an element $b \in C_1^{uf}(Z)$ as Z has bounded geometry and the z-coordinate of any point a and its image differs by at most r. We see $c = \partial b$, so $[c] = 0$. □

The main result from [MPR] that concerns us is the following.

Theorem 3.4 ([MPR], Theorem 4.15)**.** *Let Γ be a hyperbolic, rooted, visual graph of bounded degree. Then, $h(\Gamma) > 0$ if and only if $\partial_\infty\Gamma$ is uniformly perfect for some visual metric.*

Here $\partial_\infty\Gamma$ refers to the Gromov boundary of Γ with a visual metric. The proof, while not overly complicated, is notationally involved and will be omitted. We instead summarize the main ideas. This summary will use results from [MPR]; the exact theorems and lemmas used in their proof can be found in their paper.

Summary of proof of Theorem 3.4. It suffices to prove the result for a hyperbolic approximation Γ' in place of Γ. The boundary at infinity, $\partial_\infty\Gamma = \partial_\infty\Gamma'$ has strongly bounded geometry. From this and the uniformly perfect condition, one studies the combinatorics of Γ'. By using a refinement of Γ', one passes to a hyperbolic approximation Γ'' for which the map $f\colon V_{\Gamma''} \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(v) = k$ for all $v \in V_k$ satisfies $|\nabla_{xy} f| \leq c_1$ and $\Delta f(x) \geq c_2 > 0$ for some $c_1, c_2 > 0$. This lets us conclude that $h(\Gamma'') > 0$ and so $h(\Gamma) > 0$. □

4. Proofs of results

We now combine the results in Section 3 to prove the main theorem. In what follows X and Y are assumed to be quasi-isometric so one of these spaces satisfies a linear isoperimetric inequality if and only if the other does.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $f\colon X \to Y$ be a quasi-isometry. We may assume $f\colon V_X \to V_Y$. By assumption Y supports a linear isoperimetric inequality with constant $h = h(Y)^{-1} > 0$. That is, for any finite set $S \subseteq V_Y$, we have $|S| \leq h|\partial S|$. As f is a quasi-isometry and X has bounded degree, there is an $A > 0$ such that for each vertex $v \in V_Y$, we have $|(f_*([X]) - [Y])(v)| \leq A$. Hence, for $S \subseteq V_Y$ finite,

$$|\sum_S (f_*([X]) - [Y])| \leq A|S| \leq Ah|\partial S|$$

and so $[f_*([X]) - [Y]] = 0$ by Theorem 3.3. Thus, by Theorem 3.1, f is within bounded distance of a bilipschitz equivalence. □

We now prove the corollaries of Theorem 1.1 and discuss the conditions in Corollary 1.2.

Proof of Corollary 1.2. By Lemma 2.18 there exists a quasi-isometry $f\colon X \to Y$ which maps V_X to V_Y. As trees are hyperbolic, we may apply Theorem 3.4 to conclude that Y supports a linear isoperimetric inequality. Thus, the result follows from Theroem 1.1. □

We examine the conditions in Corollary 1.2, namely that X and Y are pseudo-regular, visual trees of bounded degree. Recall the fact that our trees were visual was important for Lemma 2.18. There is a similar condition in [MPR, Theorem 3.16] which must be satisfied for our tree to even support a linear isoperimetric inequality. Pseudo-regularity guarantees that our trees branch regularly; without this condition, one tree, say X, will have arbitrarily long segments with no branching. If this is not the case for Y, then the size of the number of vertices in balls of radius R in Y grow exponentially in R. If a bilipschitz map g existed between vertices with bilipschitz constant $C > 0$, then one could consider a nonbranching segment of length M in X. Letting x denote its midpoint vertex, the ball $B(g(x), R)$ has at least c^R vertices for some $c > 1$ that depends only on Y. Assuming $M > 3CR$, there are at most $2CR$ vertices on our nonbranching segment that could be the image of these c^R vertices. As $2CR/c^R < 1$ for large R, it follows that g cannot exist. Indeed, similar reasoning shows that such trees cannot even be quasi-isometric.

The bounded degree condition is similar; if the degree of Y is at most $\mu < \infty$, then the size of any ball $B(y, R)$ is bounded by $c\mu^{R+1}$ for some $c > 0$. Hence, if X had unbounded degree and a bilipschitz equivalence g existed, we could arrive at a contradiction by considering $g(B(x_n, 1))$ for a sequence of vertices x_n with increasing degree in X.

Proof of Corollary 1.3. By a similar construction to that in Lemma 2.18, it is known that X and Y are quasi-isometric (the identity in this case provides the relevant quasisymmetry, see [BuS, Theorem 7.2.1] for a detailed proof or [Li, Lemma 3.6] for a summarized proof). Theorem 3.4 shows Y satisfies a linear isoperimetric inequality, so Theorem 1.1 applies. □

References

[BP] M. Bourdon and H. Pajot, *Cohomologie l_p et espaces de Besov*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 558 (2003), 85–108.

[BuS] S. Buyalo and V. Schroeder, *Elements of asymptotic geometry*, Europ. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2007.

[DS] G. David and S. Semmes, *Fractured Fractals and Broken Dreams: Self-Similar Geometry Through Metric and Measure*, The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 1997.

[Li] J. Lindquist, *Weak capacity and modulus comparability in Ahlfors regular metric spaces*, Analysis and Geometry in Metric Spaces, 4, (2016) no. 1, 399–424.

[MPM] A. Martínez-Pérez and M. Morón. *Uniformly continuous maps between ends of $\mathbb{R}$-trees*, Math. Z. 263, (2009) no. 3, 583–606.

[MPR] A. Martínez-Pérez and J. Rodríguez *Cheeger isoperimetric constant of Gromov hyperbolic manifolds and graphs*, arXiv preprint (1605.04394), 2016.

[Pa] P. Papasoglu, *Homogeneous trees are bilipschitz equivalent*, Geom. Dedicata 54, (1995) no. 3, 301–306.

[Wh] K. Whyte, *Amenability, bilipschitz equivalence, and the von Neumann conjecture*, Duke Math. J. 99, (1999) no. 1, 93–112.

E-mail address: JLindquist@math.ucla.edu

Department of Mathematics, University of California, Los Angeles, Box 95155, Los Angeles, CA, 90095-1555, USA